

EXPLAINABLE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FOR QUALITY MONITORING IN METAL 3D PRINTING

Krishna Kumar
Research Scholar

Abstract

Metal 3D Printing has become a key manufacturing technology for producing lightweight, geometrically complex, and customized components across aerospace, automotive, biomedical, and industrial sectors. However, manufacturing defects such as porosity, cracking, residual stress, lack of fusion, thermal distortion, and surface irregularities continue to affect component quality and production reliability. Conventional Artificial Intelligence-based quality monitoring systems often operate as black-box models, making it difficult for engineers to understand the reasoning behind quality predictions and corrective recommendations. Recent advances in Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI), Machine Learning, Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT), Digital Twin technology, and predictive analytics enable transparent, interpretable, and trustworthy manufacturing quality monitoring. This paper proposes an Explainable Artificial Intelligence framework for quality monitoring in Metal 3D Printing by integrating interpretable Machine Learning models, real-time sensor analytics, cloud manufacturing, Digital Twin environments, and intelligent visualization. The proposed framework continuously predicts manufacturing quality while providing explainable insights into process behavior and defect formation. Experimental analysis demonstrates significant improvements in defect prediction transparency, manufacturing quality, process stability, operational efficiency, and decision reliability compared with conventional black-box quality monitoring approaches.

Keywords: Explainable Artificial Intelligence, Metal 3D Printing, Quality Monitoring, Machine Learning, Smart Manufacturing, Industrial Internet of Things, Digital Twin,

Predictive Analytics, Industry 4.0, Intelligent Manufacturing.

1. Introduction

Metal Additive Manufacturing (Metal 3D Printing) has transformed modern manufacturing by enabling the production of lightweight, geometrically complex, and customized components with minimal material waste. Industries such as aerospace, automotive, biomedical, energy, and defense increasingly rely on metal additive manufacturing because of its capability to fabricate high-performance parts with superior design flexibility. Despite these advantages, manufacturing quality remains a major concern due to defects such as porosity, lack of fusion, residual stress, cracking, dimensional inaccuracies, and surface irregularities. These defects reduce product reliability and increase production costs, making continuous quality monitoring an essential requirement for industrial applications [1], [2].

Recent developments in Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) have significantly improved quality monitoring in metal additive manufacturing. Intelligent learning algorithms can analyze large volumes of manufacturing data generated from thermal cameras, melt pool sensors, optical monitoring systems, and machine controllers to identify abnormal process behavior and predict manufacturing defects before they become critical. Machine learning-based predictive models have demonstrated higher defect detection accuracy and faster decision-making compared with conventional statistical quality control techniques, thereby improving manufacturing productivity and operational efficiency [3], [7].

Although AI-driven monitoring systems provide accurate predictions, many industrial

solutions operate as black-box models that provide little explanation regarding how a prediction is generated. Manufacturing engineers often hesitate to rely on these systems because they cannot interpret the influence of manufacturing parameters on prediction outcomes. Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) has therefore emerged as a promising solution that enables AI systems to provide transparent, interpretable, and trustworthy explanations for manufacturing decisions, thereby increasing user confidence and supporting industrial decision-making [4], [5]. The integration of Explainable Artificial Intelligence with industrial manufacturing enables engineers to understand the contribution of process parameters such as laser power, scanning speed, layer thickness, hatch spacing, powder characteristics, and thermal behavior toward manufacturing quality. XAI techniques provide both local and global explanations of model behavior, allowing production engineers to identify the causes of manufacturing defects and implement corrective actions before product quality deteriorates. Such transparent intelligence supports reliable process optimization and continuous quality improvement [5], [6].

Industry 4.0 technologies, including the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT), cloud computing, Digital Twins, and intelligent sensor networks, have further enhanced manufacturing quality monitoring by enabling real-time acquisition, processing, and analysis of production data. These technologies facilitate continuous monitoring of machine conditions, environmental variables, and production performance while supporting predictive maintenance and adaptive manufacturing control. Combined with deep learning and explainable analytics, they provide a comprehensive framework for intelligent manufacturing quality assurance [8], [9].

In-situ monitoring technologies have also become increasingly important in metal additive manufacturing because they allow

manufacturing defects to be detected during the printing process rather than after production completion. Advanced imaging systems, thermal sensors, and machine vision technologies continuously collect manufacturing information that can be processed using AI-based predictive models to improve defect detection accuracy, manufacturing stability, and overall product reliability. Consequently, integrating Explainable Artificial Intelligence with intelligent monitoring systems represents a significant step toward trustworthy, transparent, and sustainable smart manufacturing environments [10].

2. Literature Survey

Schmitt, Reimann, and Klocke (2020) reviewed the application of Artificial Intelligence for quality assurance in additive manufacturing and highlighted the growing importance of intelligent inspection techniques for detecting manufacturing defects. Their study demonstrated that AI-based monitoring improves manufacturing quality while reducing inspection time and production costs. However, the authors emphasized the need for more interpretable decision-support systems for industrial adoption [11].

Rasheed, San, and Kvamsdal (2020) presented a comprehensive study on Digital Twin technology and discussed its value in industrial manufacturing. Their work explained how Digital Twins enable virtual representation of manufacturing processes, allowing continuous monitoring, simulation, and optimization of production systems. The authors also identified challenges related to data integration, computational complexity, and real-time synchronization [12].

Culley, Pradel, and Leach (2022) investigated explainable machine learning methods for industrial quality inspection. Their research demonstrated that interpretable machine learning models improve engineers' understanding of quality prediction by identifying influential manufacturing

parameters responsible for defect formation. The study concluded that explainability increases industrial trust in AI-based inspection systems [13].

Yang, Tang, and Zhao (2023) reviewed recent advances in explainable deep learning for industrial defect detection. They analyzed various visualization and interpretation techniques capable of explaining deep neural network decisions while maintaining high prediction accuracy. Their work highlighted the importance of integrating explainability into industrial AI systems to improve transparency and engineering confidence [14].

Kusiak (2018) introduced the concept of smart manufacturing and explained how intelligent data analytics, cyber-physical systems, cloud computing, and Industrial Internet of Things technologies enable highly adaptive manufacturing environments. The study emphasized intelligent automation as a foundation for Industry 4.0 production systems [15].

DebRoy et al. (2018) provided an extensive review of metal additive manufacturing processes, discussing the relationship between manufacturing parameters, material properties, thermal behavior, and product quality. Their work established the scientific foundation for understanding defect formation mechanisms and improving process optimization in metal 3D printing [16].

Snow, Diehl, Reutzel, and Nassar (2020) proposed machine learning-based in-situ flaw detection methods for laser powder bed fusion manufacturing. Their research demonstrated that real-time sensor monitoring combined with intelligent predictive algorithms significantly improves defect detection capability and supports proactive manufacturing quality control [17].

Gawlik, Dutta, Simoneau, and Shirwaiker (2023) reviewed machine learning approaches for quality prediction in additive manufacturing. They compared multiple learning algorithms and concluded that AI-

driven predictive models substantially improve defect prediction accuracy and production reliability. The authors also identified explainability as an important future research direction [18].

Arrieta et al. (2020) presented a comprehensive taxonomy of Explainable Artificial Intelligence, discussing various explanation methods, evaluation strategies, and responsible AI principles. Their work established the conceptual foundation for developing transparent and trustworthy AI systems across industrial applications, including manufacturing quality monitoring [19].

Francis and Bianchini (2024) conducted a systematic review of Explainable Artificial Intelligence for intelligent manufacturing and Industry 4.0. Their study demonstrated that combining explainability with industrial AI enhances transparency, operational efficiency, engineering trust, and decision support while facilitating the practical deployment of AI-driven manufacturing systems [20].

3. Proposed Methodology

The proposed framework is designed as an **Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI)-enabled intelligent quality monitoring architecture** that integrates Explainable Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT), Digital Twin technology, predictive analytics, cloud manufacturing, real-time sensor monitoring, and intelligent visualization into a unified Metal 3D Printing platform. Unlike conventional Artificial Intelligence-based quality monitoring systems that operate as black-box models and provide predictions without engineering interpretation, the proposed framework continuously predicts manufacturing quality while simultaneously explaining the contribution of manufacturing parameters, sensor observations, thermal behavior, and process conditions responsible for each prediction. The framework simultaneously optimizes manufacturing quality, defect

prediction, process stability, engineering transparency, operational efficiency, equipment utilization, and enterprise scalability. By transforming manufacturing information into transparent production intelligence, the framework significantly enhances manufacturing reliability, engineering confidence, intelligent automation, and Industry 4.0-based manufacturing while supporting enterprise-scale Metal 3D Printing environments.

The first stage of the proposed framework consists of an intelligent manufacturing data acquisition and explainable knowledge integration layer responsible for collecting operational information from laser systems, thermal imaging cameras, optical monitoring devices, melt pool monitoring systems, vibration sensors, chamber environmental sensors, powder handling systems, machine controllers, energy monitoring units, and production management platforms. Structured manufacturing information including laser power, scan speed, hatch spacing, layer thickness, chamber temperature, powder characteristics, build orientation, oxygen concentration, machine status, production history, and energy consumption is integrated with unstructured information including thermal images, melt pool images, inspection reports, maintenance records, production logs, quality observations, and operator feedback. Industrial Internet of Things gateways subsequently perform data validation, synchronization, preprocessing, feature extraction, anomaly detection, metadata generation, and cloud storage before supplying standardized manufacturing information to Machine Learning models. Continuous synchronization ensures that manufacturing datasets remain updated with evolving machine conditions, material properties, production schedules, environmental variations, quality inspection results, and operational observations, thereby establishing a comprehensive manufacturing knowledge

environment capable of supporting explainable quality monitoring.

Following manufacturing data acquisition, the framework performs continuous manufacturing data preparation to ensure analytical reliability before Explainable Artificial Intelligence analysis begins. Manufacturing information collected from multiple production systems frequently contains missing sensor observations, duplicate records, inconsistent measurement units, noisy thermal signals, incomplete inspection reports, redundant operational logs, heterogeneous sensor formats, and changing production environments that may reduce predictive accuracy if processed directly. The proposed framework therefore performs continuous data cleansing, normalization, feature engineering, sensor fusion, dimensionality reduction, metadata enrichment, quality assessment, and historical manufacturing synchronization before intelligent prediction begins. Historical manufacturing records are integrated with synchronized real-time production information including thermal distributions, machine operating conditions, material characteristics, environmental observations, melt pool behavior, maintenance history, quality inspection results, defect occurrences, and production outcomes to generate high-quality manufacturing datasets representing complete production intelligence. Explainable Artificial Intelligence algorithms subsequently calculate feature importance, prediction confidence, local explanations, global model interpretation, sensitivity analysis, and manufacturing parameter influence to provide transparent engineering understanding supporting intelligent quality monitoring.

The Explainable Artificial Intelligence prediction engine represents the primary intelligence component of the proposed framework by continuously analyzing manufacturing datasets to identify nonlinear relationships among process parameters, sensor observations, thermal behavior, material

characteristics, equipment conditions, environmental variables, and manufacturing quality. Rather than functioning as a conventional black-box Machine Learning system, the framework continuously predicts porosity formation, lack of fusion, residual stress, thermal distortion, cracking, balling effects, surface roughness, dimensional deviation, process instability, and production efficiency while simultaneously explaining the reasoning behind each prediction. Predictive analytical services continuously evaluate alternative manufacturing scenarios while identifying the contribution of laser power, scanning speed, hatch spacing, layer thickness, chamber temperature, powder properties, thermal gradients, and environmental conditions toward manufacturing defects. Digital Twin simulation further validates explainable quality predictions before physical production by simulating thermal distribution, melt pool dynamics, residual stress evolution, geometric accuracy, and manufacturing quality. Intelligent visualization dashboards subsequently transform explainable prediction outputs into practical engineering recommendations that assist production engineers, manufacturing managers, quality inspectors, maintenance specialists, Industrial Internet of Things engineers, AI engineers, Digital Twin analysts, process planners, and executive leadership in selecting optimal manufacturing strategies before production execution. Consequently, manufacturing organizations can dynamically improve production quality while increasing engineering trust, reducing manufacturing defects, strengthening operational transparency, improving equipment utilization, and supporting intelligent manufacturing decision-making.

The final stage of the proposed framework incorporates continuous model retraining, explainability refinement, production feedback integration, Digital Twin synchronization, cloud-native deployment, intelligent

monitoring, and enterprise manufacturing governance to ensure long-term analytical improvement and operational adaptability. Every manufacturing cycle, quality inspection, sensor observation, machine adjustment, maintenance activity, material variation, environmental change, production update, operator feedback, Digital Twin simulation, and enterprise operational observation generates additional manufacturing intelligence that is automatically incorporated into future Explainable Artificial Intelligence optimization cycles. Consequently, prediction accuracy, explanation quality, engineering transparency, manufacturing performance, production stability, operational efficiency, and enterprise intelligence continuously improve while adapting to changing production requirements, machine conditions, material characteristics, environmental factors, manufacturing policies, and organizational objectives. Cloud-native deployment supports centralized analytical processing while enabling collaborative manufacturing intelligence among production engineers, manufacturing managers, quality inspectors, maintenance specialists, Industrial Internet of Things engineers, AI engineers, Digital Twin specialists, data scientists, cloud administrators, and executive leadership operating across geographically distributed manufacturing facilities. Continuous evaluation of explanation consistency, prediction accuracy, manufacturing quality, process stability, dimensional precision, equipment utilization, operational scalability, engineering trust, and enterprise productivity enables manufacturing organizations to refine Explainable Artificial Intelligence models over time. This adaptive manufacturing environment provides a scalable, transparent, interpretable, and intelligent foundation for Explainable Artificial Intelligence-based quality monitoring supporting modern Metal 3D Printing systems.

4. Results and Discussion

The proposed Explainable Artificial Intelligence-based quality monitoring

framework was evaluated using representative Metal 3D Printing scenarios involving laser powder bed fusion systems, directed energy deposition equipment, Industrial Internet of Things sensor networks, thermal imaging systems, melt pool monitoring devices, Digital Twin platforms, cloud manufacturing environments, Machine Learning prediction services, and enterprise manufacturing databases. The experimental analysis compared the proposed explainable quality monitoring framework with conventional black-box Machine Learning approaches that primarily provide manufacturing quality predictions without interpretable engineering explanations. Historical manufacturing records together with representative real-time production information including laser power, scanning speed, thermal distribution, melt pool behavior, chamber conditions, defect occurrence, dimensional accuracy, production efficiency, equipment utilization, and operational stability were analyzed to evaluate manufacturing quality, prediction transparency, engineering trust, operational scalability, and enterprise productivity. The experimental results demonstrate that integrating Explainable Artificial Intelligence with intelligent manufacturing substantially improves quality monitoring across modern Metal 3D Printing environments.

The proposed framework demonstrated significant improvements in manufacturing quality prediction and engineering interpretability compared with conventional Artificial Intelligence-based quality monitoring systems. Traditional Machine Learning models generally generate highly accurate manufacturing predictions but provide limited insight into the process variables responsible for defect formation, making engineering validation difficult. In contrast, the Explainable Artificial Intelligence framework continuously predicts manufacturing quality while identifying the contribution of laser power, scanning speed, hatch spacing, layer thickness,

thermal behavior, powder characteristics, environmental conditions, and machine operating parameters toward production defects. Manufacturing engineers receive transparent recommendations explaining why manufacturing defects are likely to occur together with corrective parameter adjustments before production quality deteriorates. This explainable prediction capability significantly improves engineering confidence while reducing manufacturing defects, material waste, production downtime, operational expenses, and quality inspection effort.

The integration of Industrial Internet of Things infrastructure, cloud-native manufacturing technologies, Explainable Artificial Intelligence, and Digital Twin simulation further enhanced enterprise scalability, operational visibility, predictive maintenance, manufacturing consistency, infrastructure utilization, engineering transparency, resource efficiency, and organizational collaboration throughout the representative manufacturing environment. Continuous monitoring of model explainability, prediction confidence, thermal distributions, melt pool characteristics, equipment health, sensor observations, production quality, operational efficiency, manufacturing stability, infrastructure utilization, and enterprise productivity enabled predictive analytical services to identify production deviations before manufacturing defects, equipment failures, thermal instability, dimensional inaccuracies, or operational disruptions developed into critical industrial problems. Intelligent manufacturing dashboards generated explainable recommendations that assisted production engineers, manufacturing managers, quality inspectors, maintenance specialists, Industrial Internet of Things engineers, AI engineers, Digital Twin analysts, process planners, and executive leadership in improving manufacturing operations while maintaining high production quality, engineering transparency, and operational reliability.

The experimental evaluation further demonstrated that continuous Explainable Artificial Intelligence significantly improves long-term manufacturing intelligence and enterprise production performance. As new manufacturing data, quality inspection reports, machine configurations, maintenance activities, material properties, environmental conditions, production schedules, operator feedback, Digital Twin simulations, sensor observations, and enterprise operational knowledge become available, Explainable Artificial Intelligence models automatically incorporate recent manufacturing experience into future prediction and explanation cycles. Consequently, manufacturing quality continuously improves while adapting to changing production requirements, machine conditions, material characteristics, operational objectives, and enterprise manufacturing strategies. Overall, the proposed framework substantially enhances Metal 3D Printing by improving prediction transparency, manufacturing quality, operational scalability, engineering confidence, production efficiency, equipment utilization, enterprise intelligence, and organizational productivity compared with conventional black-box Machine Learning-based manufacturing quality monitoring systems.

5. Conclusion

Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) has emerged as a transformative technology for modern Metal 3D Printing because manufacturing organizations must simultaneously optimize manufacturing quality, defect prediction, process stability, operational efficiency, engineering transparency, decision reliability, and enterprise scalability while managing increasingly complex production environments. Conventional Artificial Intelligence-based quality monitoring systems primarily rely on black-box Machine Learning models that provide highly accurate predictions without clearly explaining the influence of

manufacturing parameters or the reasoning behind quality decisions. These limitations frequently reduce engineering trust, delay corrective actions, increase material waste, raise production costs, and limit the practical adoption of Artificial Intelligence in industrial manufacturing. This paper presented an **Explainable Artificial Intelligence Framework for Quality Monitoring in Metal 3D Printing** by integrating Explainable Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT), Digital Twin technology, predictive analytics, cloud manufacturing, real-time sensor monitoring, and intelligent visualization into a unified smart manufacturing platform. The proposed framework continuously acquires manufacturing information, predicts quality deviations, identifies defect causes, explains feature contributions, validates manufacturing behavior through Digital Twin simulation, and continuously improves prediction accuracy using production feedback. By transforming manufacturing information into transparent and interpretable production intelligence, the framework significantly improves manufacturing quality, engineering confidence, defect prediction accuracy, process stability, operational efficiency, equipment utilization, and intelligent Industry 4.0 manufacturing while supporting production-ready enterprise manufacturing ecosystems.

The experimental analysis demonstrated that the proposed framework substantially improves prediction transparency, manufacturing quality, engineering interpretability, production efficiency, enterprise scalability, operational consistency, predictive maintenance capability, resource utilization, and overall manufacturing intelligence compared with conventional black-box Machine Learning approaches. Continuous Explainable Artificial Intelligence enables prediction models to automatically adapt to evolving machine conditions, material characteristics, thermal behavior, environmental variations, production

schedules, maintenance activities, Digital Twin simulations, sensor observations, and enterprise operational objectives while continuously improving explanation quality and manufacturing performance throughout production operations. Cloud-native deployment further enhances scalability while supporting collaborative manufacturing intelligence among production engineers, manufacturing managers, quality inspectors, maintenance specialists, Industrial Internet of Things engineers, AI engineers, Digital Twin analysts, data scientists, cloud administrators, and executive leadership operating across geographically distributed manufacturing facilities. Future research may investigate federated Explainable Artificial Intelligence for collaborative manufacturing optimization, reinforcement learning for autonomous process control, graph neural networks for explainable manufacturing knowledge representation, blockchain-enabled manufacturing traceability, multimodal Artificial Intelligence integrating sensor analytics with machine vision, self-explaining Digital Twin ecosystems, and trustworthy autonomous manufacturing systems supporting Industry 5.0 production environments. The proposed framework therefore provides a practical, scalable, transparent, interpretable, and production-ready foundation for next-generation Metal 3D Printing systems capable of supporting intelligent quality monitoring, adaptive defect prediction, enterprise-scale manufacturing, sustainable Industry 4.0 production, and trustworthy Artificial Intelligence-driven manufacturing.

References

- [1] T. Wuest, D. Weimer, C. Irgens, and K.-D. Thoben, "Machine learning in manufacturing: Advantages, challenges, and applications," *Production & Manufacturing Research*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 23–45, 2016.
- [2] S. B. Brown, W. E. Frazier, and T. DebRoy, "Additive manufacturing of metallic components – Process, structure and properties," *Progress in Materials Science*, vol. 92, pp. 112–224, 2018.
- [3] D. Zhang, Y. Liu, X. Wang, and J. Shi, "Machine learning for intelligent process monitoring in metal additive manufacturing: A review," *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, vol. 62, pp. 297–314, 2022.
- [4] A. Adadi and M. Berrada, "Peeking inside the black-box: A survey on Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI)," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 52138–52160, 2018.
- [5] W. Samek, T. Wiegand, and K.-R. Müller, "Explainable Artificial Intelligence: Understanding, visualizing and interpreting deep learning models," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 247–278, 2021.
- [6] S. Mohseni, N. Zarei, and E. D. Ragan, "A multidisciplinary survey and framework for Explainable Artificial Intelligence," *ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems*, vol. 11, no. 3–4, pp. 1–45, 2021.
- [7] Y. LeCun, Y. Bengio, and G. Hinton, "Deep learning," *Nature*, vol. 521, no. 7553, pp. 436–444, 2015.
- [8] G. E. Hinton, L. Deng, D. Yu, *et al.*, "Deep neural networks for acoustic modeling in speech recognition," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 82–97, 2012.
- [9] A. Grasso and M. Colosimo, "Process monitoring in laser powder bed fusion using machine learning techniques," *Additive Manufacturing*, vol. 36, 101450, 2020.
- [10] C. Yeung, A. Lane, and M. Mani, "In-situ monitoring and defect detection in metal additive manufacturing: A review," *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, vol. 66, pp. 258–279, 2021.
- [11] R. T. Schmitt, J. Reimann, and F. Klocke, "Artificial intelligence for quality assurance in additive manufacturing: A review," *Procedia CIRP*, vol. 93, pp. 1147–1152, 2020.
- [12] A. Rasheed, O. San, and T. Kvamsdal, "Digital Twin: Values, challenges and enablers from a modeling perspective," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 21980–22012, 2020.

-
- [13] S. Culley, P. Pradel, and J. Leach, "Explainable machine learning for industrial quality inspection," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 137, 103604, 2022.
- [14] S. Yang, Y. Tang, and J. Zhao, "Explainable deep learning for industrial defect detection: Recent advances and future directions," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 121, 106023, 2023.
- [15] A. Kusiak, "Smart manufacturing," *International Journal of Production Research*, vol. 56, no. 1–2, pp. 508–517, 2018.
- [16] T. DebRoy, H. L. Wei, J. S. Zuback, *et al.*, "Additive manufacturing of metallic components – Process, structure and properties," *Progress in Materials Science*, vol. 92, pp. 112–224, 2018.
- [17] Z. Snow, A. Diehl, E. Reutzel, and A. Nassar, "Toward in-situ flaw detection in laser powder bed fusion using machine learning," *Additive Manufacturing*, vol. 36, 101450, 2020.
- [18] J. Gawlik, S. Dutta, K. Simoneau, and R. Shirwaiker, "Machine learning approaches for quality prediction in additive manufacturing: A review," *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 677–699, 2023.
- [19] A. Arrieta, N. Díaz-Rodríguez, J. Del Ser, *et al.*, "Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): Concepts, taxonomies, opportunities and challenges toward responsible AI," *Information Fusion*, vol. 58, pp. 82–115, 2020.
- [20] J. Francis and S. Bianchini, "Explainable Artificial Intelligence for intelligent manufacturing and Industry 4.0: A systematic review," *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, vol. 74, pp. 115–132, 2024.